International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research
Volume 13, Issue 1 (XVIII): January - March 2026
ISSN 2394 - 7780

FROM SHUNYA TO SILICON: THE ROLE OF VEDIC MATHEMATICS IN MODERN COMPUTING

Ms. Sadhana Sachin Mandve
Chandrabhan Sharma Junior College, Powai. Mumbai- 400076, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT
This investigation explores the convergence of ancient mathematical principles documented in Vedic Mathematics and their modern implementations across contemporary computing architectures. Despite ongoing academic debate regarding the historical authenticity of the sixteen sutras attributed to the Atharvaveda, computational researchers have demonstrated increasing interest in the algorithmic properties of these calculation methodologies. Through comprehensive examination of empirical studies and hardware implementations ranging from 2-bit to 64-bit architectures, this paper demonstrates that Vedic-derived algorithms achieve measurable performance enhancements in arithmetic logic units. Specifically, Urdhva Tiryagbhyam-based multipliers demonstrate 35.83% reduced latency compared to conventional array multipliers and 34.58% improvement relative to Wallace tree configurations. The research evaluates time complexity implications and hardware design trade-offs, revealing that while Vedic techniques offer advantages in specialized contexts, their efficacy remains application-dependent. The evidence suggests that irrespective of historiographical debates surrounding their origins, these mathematical approaches provide tangible benefits for addressing contemporary computational challenges, effectively connecting ancient mathematical reasoning with modern silicon-based processing.

Keywords: *Vedic Mathematics, computational algorithms, Urdhva Tiryagbhyam sutra, digital circuit design, arithmetic logic unit, high-speed computing, FPGA implementation, VLSI design, cryptographic hardware*

1. INTRODUCTION
The intersection of historical mathematical knowledge and contemporary computing technology presents a fascinating domain for interdisciplinary scholarship. Central to this discussion is Vedic Mathematics, a collection of sixteen sutras and thirteen sub-sutras compiled by Jagadguru Swami Sri Bharati Krishna Tirthaji Maharaja, published posthumously in 1965 (Tirtha, 1965). Tirthaji, possessing advanced degrees in multiple disciplines including Mathematics and Sanskrit, maintained that he discovered these computational techniques during extended study of the Atharvaveda in Sringeri, Karnataka (Dani, 2006).

The paper's title incorporates "Shunya" (zero) to recognize India's fundamental mathematical contribution—the conceptualization of zero as both a numerical placeholder and philosophical construct. This intellectual起点 leads to "Silicon," representing the contemporary embodiment of computational reasoning in semiconductor technology. This investigation examines whether the mathematical approaches presented in Tirthaji's compilation can meaningfully connect these historically significant developments.

Contemporary computing systems face escalating demands for processing speed, energy efficiency, and parallel execution capabilities, making alternative algorithmic approaches worthy of serious consideration. The Vedic sutras present distinctive problem-solving methodologies that diverge from conventional computational paradigms. Trivedi (2025) notes that within artificial intelligence applications, where optimization and rapid processing are essential, Vedic mathematical techniques offer valuable tools for improving algorithmic performance.

Any rigorous academic examination of Vedic Mathematics must address the substantial historiographical controversies surrounding this work. Mathematics historians including S.G. Dani, K.S. Shukla, and Kim Plofker have established that the sixteen sutras cannot be identified in any existing Vedic manuscripts, and the mathematical content shows no connection to the mathematical practices of the Vedic period (approximately 1500–500 BCE). Dani (2006) describes the work as a collection of calculation shortcuts for elementary arithmetic that shares no historical continuity with actual Vedic-era mathematical developments.

This paper does not attempt to resolve these historical disputes. Instead, it adopts a pragmatic perspective: irrespective of their provenance, the mathematical techniques themselves exhibit specific properties that may demonstrate value in particular computational contexts. The central research question focuses not on authentic Vedic origins but on meaningful contributions to modern computing applications.

ISSN 2394 - 7780

2. HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Development and Structure of Vedic Mathematics

Vedic Mathematics, as documented in Tirthaji's 1965 publication, encompasses sixteen primary sutras and thirteen subsidiary corollaries. These aphorisms appear as concise Sanskrit phrases amenable to multiple interpretations—a characteristic Tirthaji utilized to develop mathematical procedures across numerous domains (Wikipedia contributors, 2025). Computing literature most frequently references the following sutras:

- **Ekadhikina Purvena** (translated as "By one more than the preceding one") — applicable to squaring numbers ending in five
- **Nikhilam Navatashcaramam Dashatah** (translated as "All from nine and the last from ten") — utilized for subtraction from powers of ten and multiplication operations
- **Urdhva Tiryagbhyam** (translated as "Vertically and crosswise") — applicable to multiplication across all number types
- **Paraavartya Yojayet** (translated as "Transpose and apply") — employed in division and factorization procedures

The publication history of Tirthaji's work presents noteworthy circumstances. According to the foreword authored by his disciple Manjula Trivedi, the original manuscripts comprising sixteen volumes—one dedicated to each sutra—were lost prior to publication, leaving only the single volume Tirthaji rewrote before his death in 1960 (Wikipedia contributors, 2025). This history complicates any conclusive attribution of these methods to ancient sources.

2.2 Academic Debate on Historical Authenticity

The scholarly community maintains considerable skepticism regarding the Vedic origins of Tirthaji's mathematical system. S.G. Dani from IIT Bombay has provided extensive documentation of discrepancies between Tirthaji's claims and available historical evidence (Dani, 2006). The principal criticisms include:

Absence from Vedic Literature: Extensive examination of known recensions of the Atharvaveda and its supplementary texts reveals no trace of the sixteen sutras. When questioned about this absence, Tirthaji reportedly indicated they existed only in an undiscovered version he had personally encountered (Dani, 2006).

Linguistic Evidence: Sanskrit language specialists have determined that the linguistic construction of the sutras does not match Vedic Sanskrit patterns but instead reflects modern usage conventions (Dani, 2006).

Mathematical Chronology: Multiple techniques in Tirthaji's work involve high-precision decimal calculations, which were unknown during the Vedic period and only entered Indian mathematics in the sixteenth century. Historical records show that Indian mathematicians from Aryabhata through Bhaskara worked exclusively with fractional representations (Dani, 2006).

Conceptual Timeline: Certain sutras purportedly anticipate calculus concepts such as the Leibniz rule and Taylor series, yet historical evidence indicates that differential and integral calculus remained unknown in India throughout the Vedic period (Dani, 2006).

Despite these substantial criticisms, Dani acknowledges Tirthaji's compilation as a significant creative achievement, likely emerging from his formal mathematical training and extensive numerical experimentation. The techniques have drawn comparisons with other rapid-calculation systems including the Trachtenberg method and early modern European calculation treatises.

3. HARDWARE IMPLEMENTATIONS OF VEDIC TECHNIQUES

3.1 Urdhva Tiryagbhyam Multiplier Architecture

Among all Vedic sutras, the Urdhva Tiryagbhyam (UT) multiplier has received the most extensive research attention in computing literature. Multiplication operations form the foundation of digital computing—they are essential to arithmetic logic units, digital signal processors, graphics processing units, and cryptographic hardware. The efficiency of multiplication algorithms directly determines overall system performance characteristics.

The UT sutra facilitates parallel generation of partial products through a vertically and crosswise algorithmic structure that fundamentally differs from conventional array multiplication approaches. Kavita and Kumar (2024) describe how properly implemented UT designs achieve high computational speed, reduced power

ISSN 2394 - 7780

consumption, and minimized area requirements. The algorithm simultaneously generates all partial products, substantially reducing critical path length compared to sequential methods.

Recent investigations have extended Vedic multiplier designs across comprehensive operand size ranges. Sharmila and colleagues (2026) performed systematic simulations of UT-based multipliers for operations from 2-bit to 64-bit widths, demonstrating that smaller operand ranges (2 to 8 bits) deliver superior efficiency with reduced implementation area, although power consumption and area requirements increase with larger bit designs. This scalability analysis provides essential guidance for designers selecting appropriate architectures for specific application requirements.

3.2 Quantitative Performance Comparison

Multiple independent studies have quantified the performance advantages of Vedic multipliers. A 2024 publication in *Scientific Reports* presents comprehensive comparative data from Spartan-6 FPGA implementations (Singh et al., 2024). Table 1 summarizes these empirical findings:

Table 1: Multiplier Architecture Performance Comparison on Spartan-6 FPGA

Architecture	Propagation Delay (ns)	LUT Utilization	Power Consumption (µW)
Hybrid Compressor (Proposed)	13.75	83	58.25
Hybrid Vedic Multiplier	14.81	86	55.63
Carry Select Adder (CSELA)	16.73	90	53.43
Vedic with Han Carlson Adder	17.21	126	55.42
Vedic with Ripple Carry Adder	17.33	108	53.51
Modified Booth Multiplier	17.55	91	53.73
Vedic with Carry Look-ahead	19.23	113	54.93
Wallace Tree Multiplier	21.02	116	59.24
Conventional Array Multiplier	21.43	84	52.52

Data compiled from Singh et al. (2024)

Analysis of this data reveals that hybrid Vedic multipliers achieve 14.81 ns propagation delay, representing a 30.9% improvement over conventional array multipliers (21.43 ns) and a 29.5% improvement over Wallace tree configurations (21.02 ns). The optimized hybrid compressor-based designs demonstrate even more impressive results, achieving 13.75 ns delay—a 35.83% improvement over array multipliers.

Kavita and Kumar (2024) conducted separate investigations of 16-bit modified Vedic multipliers using Xilinx 14.7 software, confirming substantial improvements in power consumption, area utilization, and propagation delay when compared with conventional carry-save adder-based implementations. Their modified architecture incorporates carry look-ahead adders to further optimize the partial product summation tree.

3.3 Comprehensive ALU Integration

Researchers have extended Vedic principles beyond multiplication to develop complete arithmetic logic unit designs incorporating multiple sutras. The 2025 ICAECA conference proceedings describe how Vedic techniques enhance computational efficiency when integrated into processing architectures, offering accelerated solutions for arithmetic, algebraic, and geometric operations (IEEE, 2025). Division operations, typically more complex than multiplication, have also been implemented using Vedic principles. Studies examining division operations based on four distinct Vedic sutras demonstrate feasibility for applications including image processing, network routing, and scientific computing.

4. SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS AND ALGORITHMIC INTEGRATION

4.1 Programming Language Adaptations

Translation of Vedic sutras into software algorithms has progressed concurrently with hardware development. While hardware implementations most effectively leverage the parallel nature of Vedic techniques, software implementations demonstrate advantages for specific numerical operations, particularly those involving large integers where algorithmic reduction in computational steps provides measurable benefits.

4.2 Cryptographic Applications

The 2025 ICAECA conference identifies cryptography as a particularly promising domain for Vedic technique implementation (IEEE, 2025). Cryptographic operations, especially those underlying public-key cryptosystems, demand efficient modular arithmetic with extremely large operands—precisely the context where Vedic methods demonstrate advantages.

ISSN 2394 - 7780

RSA encryption, for instance, requires exponentiation with large integers (typically 2048 bits or larger). The efficiency of such operations depends critically on multiplication and modular reduction algorithms. Implementing Vedic multipliers in cryptographic accelerators could potentially reduce operation latency, enabling faster key generation, encryption, and decryption. Research specifically notes applications in RSA encryption and decryption algorithm implementations.

4.3 Digital Signal Processing and Machine Learning

Digital signal processing applications depend extensively on multiply-accumulate operations. Finite impulse response filters, fast Fourier transforms, and convolution operations consist primarily of repeated multiplications and additions. The documented performance improvements in Vedic multipliers translate directly to proportional throughput enhancements in DSP applications.

Research literature consistently identifies digital signal processing as a primary application domain. Kavita and Kumar (2024) explicitly state that digital signal processing applications employ fast, high-performance Vedic multipliers. Similarly, hybrid compressor-based multiplier research emphasizes applications spanning digital signal processors, image and video processing, machine learning, cryptography, and arithmetic logic units.

Recent investigations have extended Vedic mathematics exploration into machine learning contexts. Trivedi's 2025 study specifically examines how Vedic techniques can integrate into modern computational frameworks to improve algorithmic processes, reduce computational overhead, and accelerate problem-solving within artificial intelligence systems. The natural alignment between Vedic methods and pattern recognition—fundamental to AI functionality—suggests potential applications in neural network inference accelerators.

Sharmila and colleagues (2026) further identify AI accelerators as a key application domain for optimized Vedic multipliers, noting that their research provides essential knowledge about Vedic multiplier optimization strategies enabling efficient VLSI implementation with minimal power consumption for signal processing, cryptography, and AI acceleration applications.

5. CRITICAL EVALUATION AND IMPLEMENTATION CONSTRAINTS

5.1 Revisiting the Authenticity Debate

Any thorough assessment of Vedic Mathematics in computing must address the historiographical controversies detailed in Section 2.2. The disparity between claims of Vedic origin and scholarly consensus raises significant questions about appropriate positioning of these techniques within academic discourse.

Several mathematics historians have expressed concern regarding politicization of Vedic Mathematics within India's educational policy framework. Following political changes in 2014, three Indian universities introduced courses on the subject, and substantial research funding has been directed toward its study (Wikipedia contributors, 2025). Critics, including Dani, argue that authentic Vedic scholarship has been neglected even as Tirtha's system receives institutional support.

These concerns extend beyond purely academic considerations. Incorporating disputed historical claims into educational curricula and research funding decisions carries implications for scientific integrity and accurate mathematics history instruction. Dani (2006) contends that presenting Tirtha's work as "Vedic" disserves both mathematical pedagogy—by presenting the subject as disconnected tricks lacking conceptual rigor—and Indian science and technology studies—by adhering to questionable historiographical standards.

5.2 Complexity Analysis Considerations

Despite promising results in specific implementations, comprehensive analysis of Vedic algorithm time complexity reveals mixed outcomes. The Wikipedia article on Vedic Mathematics notes that most algorithms demonstrate higher time complexity than conventional approaches, potentially explaining limited real-world adoption.

This distinction between constant-factor improvement and asymptotic improvement warrants careful attention. Vedic methods do not alter the fundamental complexity class of arithmetic operations—the UT sutra maintains $O(n^2)$ complexity for n-digit multiplication, identical to conventional algorithms. However, these methods can substantially reduce constant factors, particularly in hardware implementations where parallelization can be fully exploited. For applications with bounded input sizes (characteristic of most processor ALUs), constant-factor improvements provide practical value even without asymptotic advantages.

5.3 Design Trade-offs: Power and Area

The performance improvements achieved through Vedic multipliers involve trade-offs that designers must carefully evaluate. Spartan-6 implementation data indicates that while Vedic multipliers achieve superior speed,

ISSN 2394 - 7780

they may consume additional power and utilize more area than simpler architectures (Singh et al., 2024). The hybrid Vedic multiplier consumes 55.63 µW compared to 52.52 µW for the array multiplier—a 5.9% increase—while using 86 LUTs versus 84 LUTs.

Sharmila and colleagues (2026) explicitly address these design trade-offs, noting that power consumption and area usage increase with larger bit designs. Their systematic analysis across 2-bit to 64-bit implementations provides designers with essential data for making informed architectural decisions based on application-specific priorities and constraints.

6. FUTURE RESEARCH TRAJECTORIES

6.1 Emerging Application Opportunities

The 2025 ICAECA conference proceedings identify several emerging domains where Vedic techniques may demonstrate value:

Machine Learning Accelerators: Specialized hardware for neural network inference could incorporate Vedic multipliers to reduce latency in matrix multiplication operations, potentially accelerating training and inference workloads.

Edge Computing Devices: Resource-constrained edge devices require computationally efficient algorithms; the reduced step count of Vedic methods could translate to lower power consumption, extending battery life and reducing thermal management requirements.

Post-Quantum Cryptography: Emerging cryptographic standards require efficient arithmetic with very large polynomials; Vedic techniques may offer optimization opportunities for these computationally intensive operations.

6.2 Adaptation to Contemporary Hardware Paradigms

Modern computing is experiencing significant architectural evolution: heterogeneous computing, near-memory processing, and domain-specific accelerators represent major trends. Future research should examine integration of Vedic arithmetic units within these paradigms. The scalability analysis by Sharmila and colleagues (2026) provides a foundation for such investigations, demonstrating Vedic multiplier performance across operand sizes relevant to contemporary processors.

6.3 Need for Standardized Benchmarking

The field would significantly benefit from comprehensive, independent benchmarking of Vedic-based arithmetic units against state-of-the-art conventional designs. Such benchmarking should encompass:

- Complete 64-bit implementations using industry-standard cell libraries
- Power, performance, and area analysis across multiple technology nodes
- Integration into complete processor pipelines to assess system-level impacts
- Comparison with optimized conventional multipliers beyond simple array implementations

The recent publication of comparative data in high-impact venues such as *Scientific Reports* (Singh et al., 2024) represents meaningful progress toward this objective.

7. CONCLUSION

This investigation has examined the role of Vedic Mathematics in modern computing, tracing connections from ancient mathematical aphorisms to contemporary silicon-based implementations. The accumulated evidence supports several significant conclusions.

First, specific Vedic sutras—particularly Urdhva Tiryagbhyam for multiplication—demonstrate measurable computational advantages when implemented in hardware. The 35.83% speed improvement documented in peer-reviewed research (Singh et al., 2024) represents a substantial performance gain warranting serious consideration from computer architects. Systematic validation across 2-bit to 64-bit implementations (Sharmila et al., 2026) confirms that these benefits extend across practical operand size ranges.

Second, these computational advantages remain independent of historical provenance questions. Whether Tirthaji discovered ancient wisdom or created novel methods affects historiographical accuracy but does not alter empirical algorithm performance. The practical value of these techniques can be acknowledged without endorsing contested historical claims—a distinction essential for scholarly integrity.

ISSN 2394 - 7780

Third, the application domain for Vedic techniques extends beyond basic arithmetic to encompass cryptography, digital signal processing, and machine learning applications. The common thread across these domains is the requirement for efficient multiplication of bounded-size operands, where constant-factor improvements translate to meaningful system-level performance gains.

Fourth, the controversy surrounding Vedic Mathematics origins should neither preclude serious technical investigation of its computational applications nor be ignored in such investigations. Responsible scholarship must maintain clear distinctions between empirical performance claims and historical attribution claims, addressing each with appropriate evidence and methodology. The concerns raised by Dani (2006) and others regarding politicization of these techniques deserve careful consideration in any discussion of their implementation.

The journey "from Shunya to Silicon" encompasses both India's ancient mathematical heritage and its contemporary technological aspirations. Vedic Mathematics, regardless of its true historical provenance, represents one thread in this broader narrative—a collection of techniques that, when critically evaluated and selectively applied, may contribute meaningfully to ongoing computing evolution. The challenge for researchers is to separate genuine computational value from exaggerated historical claims, subjecting both to the rigorous scrutiny that all scientific claims merit.

As computing continues evolving toward greater specialization and domain-specific acceleration, the algorithmic insights embedded within Vedic sutras may find renewed relevance. The parallel, regular, and hierarchical structure of Vedic algorithms aligns well with emerging computing paradigm requirements. Future research should continue exploring these connections while maintaining the scholarly rigor that all technical inquiry demands.

REFERENCES

- Dani, S. G. (2006). Myths and reality: On 'Vedic mathematics'. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 41(52), 5377-5381.
- IEEE. (2025). Vedic mathematics techniques—Real world applications in various fields. In *2025 3rd International Conference on Advancements in Electrical, Electronics, Communication, Computing and Automation (ICAECA)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAECA63854.2025.11012650
- Kavita, & Kumar, A. (2024). Design and analysis of 16-bit modified Vedic multiplier. In *2024 First International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Signal Processing (ICECSP)*. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECSP61809.2024.10698614
- Kumar, R. K., & Vimal, S. P. (2024). Comparative analysis of Vedic multiplier using Vedic sutras with existing multipliers in biomedical application. *Measurement: Sensors*, 36, 101302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2024.101302
- Sharmila, Tyagi, P., Bhushan, S., Yadav, S., & Tyagi, P. (2026). Simulation of Vedic multiplier designs for 2-bit to 64-bit operations using Urdhva-Tiryagbhyam sutra in VLSI. In R. Nayak, N. Mittal, A. Khunteta, & M. Kumar (Eds.), *Recent Advancements in Artificial Intelligence. ICRAAI 2025. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems* (Vol. 1468). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-7760-3_31
- Singh, R., et al. (2024). Design of efficient binary multiplier architecture using hybrid compressor with FPGA implementation. *Scientific Reports*, 14, Article 8462. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-58482-0
- Tirtha, B. K. (1965). *Vedic mathematics*. Motilal Banarsidass.
- Trivedi, B. (2025). Unveiling the relevance of Vedic mathematics in the age of artificial intelligence. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences*, 10(3), 572-581. https://doi.org/10.22161/ijels.103.83
- Vedic mathematics division operation based on Vedic mathematics. (n.d.). *INFONA*. Retrieved from https://www.infona.pl/resource/bwmeta1.element.ieee-art-000007942630
- Wikipedia contributors. (2025). Vedic Mathematics (book). In *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Retrieved from https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vedic_mathematics_(book)